

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Cen

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,219	11/13/2003	Tyson Y. Winarski	90002.2797	8461
29455 TYSON YORI	7590 01/24/2008 C WINARSKI	EXAMINER		
1833 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE #602, NW			BARTON, JEFFREY THOMAS	
WASHINGTON, DC 20009			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
		·		
	•	•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/24/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Notice of Abandanment	10/712,219	WINARSKI, TYSON Y.				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joffroy T. Borton	1705				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Jeffrey T. Barton	orrespondence address				
This application is abandoned in view of:	board on and dovor officer with the c	onespondence address-				
This application is assumed in view of.	This application is abundoned in view of.					
A proposed raphywae received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphywae received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphywae received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphywae received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphywae received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphywae received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphywae received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphywae received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphywae received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphywae received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphywae received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphywae received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphywae received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphywae received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphywae received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphywae received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphywae received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphywae received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphywae received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphy was received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphy was received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphy was received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphy was received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphy was received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphy was received on but it does not constitute a proposed raphy was received and but it does not constitute a proposed raphy was received and but it does not constitute a proposed raphy was received and but it does not constitute a proposed raphy and but it does not constitute a proposed raphy and but it does not constitute a proposed raphy and but it does not constitute a proposed raphy and						
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.						
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).						
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) 🖾 No reply has been received.						
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.						
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).						
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) No corrected drawings have been received.						
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.						
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.						
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cla		se the period for seeking court review				
7. The reason(s) below:	Manh	2n/				
	nam nguyen Supervigory patent e Technology center	XAMINER (1700				
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.						
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 20080118				